UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,056	01/10/2006	Hiroyuki Okada	396.45772X00	5663
20457 7590 06/03/2011 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			MOORE, MARGARET G	
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-3873		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/564,056	OKADA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARGARET MOORE	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1, 2, 7, 11 to 14, 16 to 24 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1, 2, 7, 11 to 14, 16 to 24 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/ľ	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/564,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

1. The following rejections are maintained from the previous office action.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 11 to 14 and 16 to 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 02/083763 (as interpreted by Tamura et al.) in view of Fuchs, Ishikawa et al., Roberson or Lammerting for reasons of record.

The rationale for this rejection was detailed in previous office actions and as such will not be repeated.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 11 to 14 and 16 to 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dohi in view of WO 02/083763 (as interpreted by Tamura et al.), further in view of Fuchs, Ishikawa et al., Roberson or Lammerting for reasons of record.

The rationale for this rejection was detailed in previous office actions and as such will not be repeated.

5. Applicants' RCE filed 8/23/10 submitted the same claims and arguments that were filed in the after final response dated 7/23/10. At that time the Examiner indicated that the amended claims would not overcome the rejections noted supra. The Examiner will reiterate the reasons provided by in the Advisory Action.

Applicants' lengthy arguments simply are not persuasive of an unobvious difference between the composition rendered obvious by Tamura et al. in view of the 4 secondary references of record. These arguments do not appear to raise any new issues but rather rehash those that have already been presented, namely the term "coating film" and the wetting properties. With regard to the narrowed compounds (A)

Application/Control Number: 10/564,056

Page 3

Art Unit: 1765

and (B) in the claims, please note that Tamura et al. teach both of these compounds, even in combination (Ex. 6). This is not sufficient to overcome the rejections.

Applicants' still argue that "coating" gives life and meaning to the claims but again, claim 1 does not require a substrate and thus this term alone, or even with the phrase "capable of being formed on a substrate" (which is simply a future intended use) does not lend unobviousness to the claims over the prior art. The Examiner again emphasizes her position that the film of claim 1 can be free standing. For the claims that do require a substrate the Examiner has already noted that the film in Tamura et al. is applied to a glass or metal mold. At the least see column 28, line 44 and on. This meets the surface, for instance, in claim 7. Again, regarding the wetting property, the Examiner has addressed this both in the previous office action and in the action dated 7/2/2009. The prior art need not be combined for the same reason as applicants to render a composition obvious.

With regard to new claim 24 note that this combination of components is found in Examples 6 and 13 to 28.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARGARET MOORE whose telephone number is (571)272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, 9 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,056 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Margaret G. Moore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1765

mgm 5/26/11